Message

From: Kiely, Timothy [Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov]

Sent: 8/17/2022 3:50:16 PM

To: Arrington, Linda [Arrington.Linda@epa.gov]; Schroeder, Carolyn [Schroeder.Carolyn@epa.gov]; Reaves, Elissa

[Reaves.Elissa@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Attachments: SFIREG-State-Lead-Agency-Treated-Seed-Regulation-from-R2-Issue-for-December-6-7-2021.pdf

From: Ryan, Emily <ryan.emily@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 5:53 PM

To: Reaves, Elissa < Reaves. Elissa@epa.gov>; Arrington, Linda < Arrington. Linda@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy

<Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Sure—I'll ask Gary if has any questions on the open Q2 documents and get back.

Sharing a link to the most recent version of the white paper on AAPCO's website, and attaching as well. They haven't yet shared any updates added since the April meeting.

Thanks, Emily

From: Reaves, Elissa < Reaves, Elissa @epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 5:44 PM

To: Ryan, Emily <rayn.emily@epa.gov>; Arrington, Linda <<u>Arrington.Linda@epa.gov</u>>; Kiely, Timothy

<Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

There are several dockets still open from Q2 if he has any questions on those documents? Just a thought.

Also, we never received the white paper the group has been discussing about treated seeds and I guess updating. Can we get a copy please?

Elissa Reaves, Ph.D.
Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
202-566-1925

From: Ryan, Emily < ryan.emily@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 5:41 PM

To: Arrington, Linda Arrington.Linda@epa.gov; Reaves, Elissa Reaves, Elissa@epa.gov; Kiely, Timothy

<Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Hi Elissa and Linda,

Got it—thank you both for the quick follow-up. I'll let SFIREG know we won't be able to include those topics at the closed-door.

Elissa—I'll also let Gary know that we won't have anything separate to share during his visit to HQ, if that works. I haven't received any additional detail from him regarding what else he might like to discuss in a reg review process meeting outside of upcoming mitigation.

Thanks for the coordination, Emily

From: Arrington, Linda < Arrington. Linda@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 12:10 PM

To: Reaves, Elissa < Reaves. Elissa@epa.gov>; Ryan, Emily < ryan.emily@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy

<Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Hi Emily

Yes I would agree with Elissa on the update on treated seeds petition. We are still working the response to comments and the response to the petition.

Linda Arrington, Branch Chief Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division Office of Pesticide Programs 202 566 2279 Arrington.linda@epa.gov

From: Reaves, Elissa < Reaves, Elissa@epa.gov > Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 11:05 AM

To: Ryan, Emily <ryan.emily@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy <Kiely.Timothy@epa.gov>

Cc: Arrington, Linda < Arrington.Linda@epa.gov > Subject: RE: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Hi Emily.

We wont be ready for a reg rev mitigation discussion by June 7th, we wont have even briefed up yet thru our leadership. So that we wont be able to accommodate.

The treated seeds I also don't think there will be much to give an update on, we are still waiting to hear from OGC and DOJ on our timeline. Looping in Linda to see if she agrees with this perspective.

Elissa Reaves, Ph.D.
Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
202-566-1925

From: Ryan, Emily < ryan.emily@epa.gov> Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2022 10:17 AM

To: Reaves, Elissa < Reaves. Elissa@epa.gov>; Kiely, Timothy < Kiely. Timothy@epa.gov>

Subject: PRD topics at 6/7 SFIREG lunch

Importance: High

Hi Elissa and Tim,

I'm working with SFIREG to lock down agenda items for the 6/7 co-regulator lunch, and they've asked if it would be possible to include the following topics:

- a preview/heads-up of potential upcoming mitigation that may be proposed through the risk review process;
 and
- an update on the treated seeds/articles litigation.

I'd like to get your thoughts on whether the 6/7 lunch would be a good venue for any additional closed-door discussion on the above. There will be a few other topics on the agenda as well, so I'm estimating we'd have ~15-20 minutes to cover.

<u>Note</u>: Gary had been interested in a separate meeting on the reg review process while he's in town following the SFIREG meeting. I confirmed with him that, if the reg review topic is included in the closed-door meeting, we would <u>not</u> need to also schedule a separate meeting with him during his visit to HQ.

Please let me know what you think/if you have any questions—

Thanks! Emily

Emily M. Ryan
Intergovernmental and Community Relations Branch
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Ryan.Emily@epa.gov